Transfer of KV teachers

t3431. SHRI VUAY SINGH YADAV:
SHRIVV.RAGHAVAN:

Will the Ministcrof HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to answer to Unstarred Question 254, given in the Rajya Sabha, on the 1st March, 2002 and state:

(a) what are the reasons for transferring a large number of Kendriya VidyalayaTeachers declaring them surplus, while there has been no decrease in the sanctioned strength of teachers, during the last three years; and

(b) whether the trend of "surplus' still continues in the context of dispensing with classes/sections?

THE MINISTER OF STATE INTHE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT [DR. (SHRIMATI) RITA VERMA]: (a) and (b) Staff sanction is an annual exercise done school-wise and subject-wise wherein the staff are declared surplus on account of decrease in number of sections, removal of streams, decrease in students strength, closure of Vidyalayas and additional staff are provided for increase in sections, addition of new streams, upgradation of vidyalayas, opening of new vidyalayas etc.

The total sanctioned staff strength of Kendriya Vidyalaya Sangathan has no relevance with the number of staff rendered surplus in each subject in individual vidyalaya. However, the surplus staff in each subject are adjusted in the available vacancies in other vidyalayas which is also an annual exercise.

Expenditure on Education

†3432. SHRI KAPILSIBAL: Will the Minister of HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is fact that the Education Commission had recommended in 1964-65 that it was essential to spend 6 per cent of the total GDP annually on education;

(b) if so, whether such amount is still not being spent on education, even after a lapse of nearly four decades; and

(c) if so, the reasons therefor and by when that much amount is likley to be spent on Education in future?

---

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.